

7. CARBON SEQUESTRATION

Additional information on this topic, including reviews not discussed here, can be found at http://www.co2science.org/subject/c/subject_c.php under the heading Carbon Sequestration.

7.1. Decomposition

7.1.1. Processes and Properties

Atmospheric CO₂ enrichment stimulates photosynthesis and growth in nearly all plants, typically producing more non-structural carbohydrates, which can be used to manufacture more carbon-based secondary compounds (CBSCs) or *phenolics*. This observation is important because phenolics tend to inhibit the decomposition of the organic matter in which they are found (Freeman *et al.*, 2001). Hence, if elevated levels of atmospheric CO₂ lead to the production of more of these decay-resistant substances, one would expect the ongoing rise in the air's CO₂ content to lead to the enhanced sequestration of plant-litter-derived carbon in the world's soils, producing a negative feedback phenomenon that would tend to slow the rate of rise of the air's CO₂ content and thereby moderate CO₂-induced global warming. So what do experiments reveal about this hypothesis?

For a long time the picture was rather muddled. Many studies reported the expected increases in CBSC concentrations with experimentally-created increases in the air's CO₂ content. Others, however, could find no significant plant phenolic content changes; while a few even detected CO₂-induced *decreases* in CBSC concentrations. Although chaos thus reigned in this area for some time, Penuelas *et al.* (1997) finally brought order to the issue when they identified the key role played by soil nitrogen.

In analyzing the results of several different studies, Penuelas *et al.* noticed that when soil nitrogen supply was less than adequate, some of the CBSC responses to a doubling of the air's CO₂ content were negative, i.e., a portion of the studies indicated that plant CBSC concentrations actually declined as the air's CO₂ content rose. When soil nutrient supply was more than adequate, however, the responses were almost all positive, with plant CBSC concentrations rising in response to a doubling of the air's CO₂ concentration. In addition, when the CO₂ content of the air was tripled, *all* CBSC responses, under both low and high soil nitrogen conditions, were positive.

The solution to the puzzle was thus fairly simple. With a tripling of the air's CO₂ content, nearly all plants exhibited increases in CBSC production; but with only a doubling of the atmospheric CO₂ concentration, adequate nitrogen was needed to ensure a positive CBSC response.

What makes these observations especially exciting is that atmospheric CO₂ enrichment, in addition to enhancing plant growth, typically stimulates nitrogen fixation in both woody (Olesniewicz and Thomas, 1999) and non-woody (Niklaus *et al.*, 1998; Dakora and Drake, 2000) legumes. Hence, as the air's CO₂ content continues to rise, earth's nitrogen-fixing plants should

become ever more proficient in this important enterprise. In addition, some of the extra nitrogen thus introduced into earth's ecosystems will likely be shared with non-nitrogen-fixing plants. Also, since the microorganisms responsible for nitrogen fixation are found in nearly all natural ecosystems (Gifford, 1992), and since atmospheric CO₂ enrichment can directly stimulate the nitrogen-fixing activities of these microbes (Lowe and Evans, 1962), it can be appreciated that the ongoing rise in the air's CO₂ content will likely provide more nitrogen for the production of more CBSCs in *all* of earth's plants. And with ever-increasing concentrations of decay-resistant materials being found throughout plant tissues, the plant-derived organic matter that is incorporated into soils should remain there for ever longer periods of time.

On the other hand, in a meta-analysis of the effects of atmospheric CO₂ enrichment on leaf-litter chemistry and decomposition rate that was based on a total of 67 experimental observations, Norby *et al.* (2001) found that elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentrations - mostly between 600 and 700 ppm - reduced leaf-litter nitrogen concentration by about 7%. But in experiments where plants were grown under as close to natural conditions as possible, such as in open-top chambers, FACE plots, or in the proximity of CO₂-emitting springs, there were *no* significant effects of elevated CO₂ on leaf-litter nitrogen content.

In addition, based on a total of 46 experimental observations, Norby *et al.* determined that elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentrations increased leaf-litter lignin concentrations by an average of 6.5%. However, these increases in lignin content occurred only in woody, but not herbaceous, species. And again, the lignin concentrations of leaf litter were *not* affected by elevated CO₂ when plants were grown in open-top chambers, FACE plots, or in the proximity of CO₂-emitting springs.

Finally, in an analysis of a total of 101 observations, Norby *et al.* found that elevated CO₂ had no consistent effect on leaf-litter decomposition rate in *any* type of experimental setting. Hence, as the air's CO₂ content continues to rise, it will likely have little to no impact on leaf-litter chemistry and rates of leaf-litter decomposition. Since there will be *more* leaf litter produced in a high-CO₂ world of the future, however, that fact alone will ensure that more carbon is sequestered in the world's soils for longer periods of time.

It must additionally be noted, however, as stated by Agren and Bosatta (2002), that "global warming has long been assumed to lead to an increase in soil respiration and, hence, decreasing soil carbon stores." Indeed, this dictum was accepted as gospel for many years, for a number of laboratory experiments seemed to suggest that nature would not allow more carbon to be sequestered in the soils of a warming world. As one *non-laboratory* experiment after another has recently demonstrated, however, such is *not* the case; and theory has been forced to change to accommodate reality.

The old-school view of things began to unravel in 1999, when two important studies presented evidence refuting the long-standing orthodoxy. Abandoning the laboratory for the world of nature, Fitter *et al.* (1999) heated natural grass ecosystems by 3°C and found that the temperature increase had "no direct effect on the soil carbon store." Even more astounding,

Liski *et al.* (1999) showed that carbon storage in the soils of both high- and low-productivity boreal forests in Finland actually *increased* with rising temperatures along a natural temperature gradient.

The following year saw more of the same. Johnson *et al.* (2000) warmed natural Arctic tundra ecosystems by nearly 6°C for *eight full years* and still found no significant effect of that major temperature increase on ecosystem respiration. Likewise, Giardina and Ryan (2000) analyzed organic carbon decomposition data derived from the forest soils of *82 different sites on five continents*, reporting the amazing fact that "despite a 20°C gradient in mean annual temperature, soil carbon mass loss ... was insensitive to temperature."

What was theory to do? It had to change. What is more, it had to change fast. And it did. The very next year, Thornley and Cannell (2001) ventured forth gingerly with what they called "an hypothesis" concerning the matter. Specifically, they proposed the idea that warming may increase the rate of certain physico-chemical processes that transfer organic carbon from less-stable to more-stable soil organic matter pools, thereby enabling the better-protected organic matter to avoid, or more strongly resist, decomposition. Then, they developed a dynamic soil model in which they demonstrated that if their thinking was correct, long-term soil carbon storage would appear to be insensitive to a rise in temperature, even if the respiration rates of all soil carbon pools rose in response to warming, as they indeed do.

The paper of Agren and Bosatta is an independent parallel development of much the same concept, although they describe the core idea in somewhat different terms, and they upgrade the concept from what Thornley and Cannell call an "hypothesis" to what they refer to as the continuous-quality "theory." *Quality*, in this context, refers to the degradability of soil organic matter; and *continuous quality* suggests there is a wide-ranging continuous spectrum of soil organic carbon "mini-pools" that possess differing degrees of resistance to decomposition.

The continuous quality theory thus states that soils from naturally higher temperature regimes will have soil organic matter "continuous quality" distributions that contain relatively more organic matter in carbon pools that are more resistant to degradation and are consequently characterized by lower rates of decomposition, which has been observed experimentally to be the case by Grisi *et al.* (1998). In addition, it states that this shift in the distribution of soil organic matter qualities, i.e., the higher-temperature-induced creation of more of the more-difficult-to-decompose organic matter, will counteract the decomposition-promoting influence of the higher temperatures, so that the overall decomposition rate of the totality of organic matter in a higher-temperature soil is either unaffected or actually reduced.

Once again, therefore, it would appear that the ongoing rise in the air's CO₂ content, as well as any degree of warming that might possibly accompany it, will not materially alter the rate of decomposition of the world's soil organic matter. Hence, the rate at which carbon is sequestered in the world's soils should continue to increase, as joint function of the rate at which the productivity of earth's plants is increased by the aerial fertilization effect of the rising atmospheric CO₂ concentration and the rate of expansion of the planet's vegetation into drier

regions of the globe that is made possible by the concomitant CO₂-induced increase in vegetative water use efficiency.

Additional information on this topic, including reviews of newer publications as they become available, can be found at <http://www.co2science.org/subject/d/decompprocesses.php>.

References

- Agren, G.I. and Bosatta, E. 2002. Reconciling differences in predictions of temperature response of soil organic matter. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* **34**: 129-132.
- Dakora, F.D. and Drake, B.G. 2000. Elevated CO₂ stimulates associative N₂ fixation in a C₃ plant of the Chesapeake Bay wetland. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **23**: 943-953.
- Fitter, A.H., Self, G.K., Brown, T.K., Bogie, D.S., Graves, J.D., Benham, D. and Ineson, P. 1999. Root production and turnover in an upland grassland subjected to artificial soil warming respond to radiation flux and nutrients, not temperature. *Oecologia* **120**: 575-581.
- Freeman, C., Ostle, N. and Kang, H. 2001. An enzymic 'latch' on a global carbon store. *Nature* **409**: 149.
- Giardina, C.P. and Ryan, M.G. 2000. Evidence that decomposition rates of organic carbon in mineral soil do not vary with temperature. *Nature* **404**: 858-861.
- Gifford, R.M. 1992. Interaction of carbon dioxide with growth-limiting environmental factors in vegetative productivity: Implications for the global carbon cycle. *Advances in Bioclimatology* **1**: 24-58.
- Grisi, B., Grace, C., Brookes, P.C., Benedetti, A. and Dell'abate, M.T. 1998. Temperature effects on organic matter and microbial biomass dynamics in temperate and tropical soils. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* **30**: 1309-1315.
- Johnson, L.C., Shaver, G.R., Cades, D.H., Rastetter, E., Nadelhoffer, K., Giblin, A., Laundre, J. and Stanley, A. 2000. Plant carbon-nutrient interactions control CO₂ exchange in Alaskan wet sedge tundra ecosystems. *Ecology* **81**: 453-469.
- Liski, J., Ilvesniemi, H., Makela, A. and Westman, C.J. 1999. CO₂ emissions from soil in response to climatic warming are overestimated - The decomposition of old soil organic matter is tolerant of temperature. *Ambio* **28**: 171-174.
- Lowe, R.H. and Evans, H.J. 1962. Carbon dioxide requirement for growth of legume nodule bacteria. *Soil Science* **94**: 351-356.
- Niklaus, P.A., Leadley, P.W., Stocklin, J. and Korner, C. 1998. Nutrient relations in calcareous grassland under elevated CO₂. *Oecologia* **116**: 67-75.

Norby, R.J., Cotrufo, M.F., Ineson, P., O'Neill, E.G. and Canadell, J.G. 2001. Elevated CO₂, litter chemistry, and decomposition: a synthesis. *Oecologia* **127**: 153-165.

Olesniewicz, K.S. and Thomas, R.B. 1999. Effects of mycorrhizal colonization on biomass production and nitrogen fixation of black locust (*Robinia pseudoacacia*) seedlings grown under elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide. *New Phytologist* **142**: 133-140.

Penuelas, J., Estiarte, M. and Llusia, J. 1997. Carbon-based secondary compounds at elevated CO₂. *Photosynthetica* **33**: 313-316.

Thornley, J.H.M and Cannell, M.G.R. 2001. Soil carbon storage response to temperature: an hypothesis. *Annals of Botany* **87**: 591-598.

7.1.2. Agricultural Crops

Agricultural crops grown at elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentrations nearly always exhibit increased photosynthetic rates and biomass production. Due to this productivity enhancement, more plant material is typically added to soils from root growth, turnover and exudation, as well as from leaves and stems following their abscission during senescence. This plant material often has a greater carbon-to-nitrogen ratio than plant material produced in ambient air. A question thus arises as to whether or not such changes in plant litter quality affect its decomposition rate. Moreover, if any changes do occur, how might they impact biological carbon sequestration? In searching for answers to these important questions, we turn to the scientific literature to see what has recently been published in this field.

In the study of Booker *et al.* (2000), leaves from defoliated cotton plants grown at an atmospheric CO₂ concentration of 720 ppm displayed significantly greater amounts of starch and soluble sugars and significantly lower concentrations of nitrogen than the leaves of plants grown in ambient air. These changes in the quality of the leaf litter produced under high CO₂ likely affected its subsequent decomposition rate, which was 10 to 14% slower than that observed for leaf litter collected from plants grown in air of normal CO₂ concentration. Likewise, when crop residues from soybean and sorghum plants that were raised in twice-ambient CO₂ environments were mixed with soils to study their decomposition rates, Torbert *et al.* (1998) noted that they lost significantly less carbon – up to 40% less – than similarly treated crop residues from ambiently-grown crops.

In contrast to the aforementioned studies, where residues from CO₂-enriched agricultural crops decomposed at slower rates than residues from crops grown at ambient CO₂ concentrations, neither Van Vuuren *et al.* (2000), for spring wheat, nor Henning *et al.* (1996), for soybean and sorghum, found any significant differences in the decomposition rates of the residues of crops grown under these two conditions of high or normal atmospheric CO₂ concentration.

As the air's CO₂ content continues to rise, therefore, and agricultural crops grow more robustly and return greater amounts of litter to the soil, it is likely that greater amounts of carbon will be sequestered in the soil in which they grew, as crop residue decomposition rates are significantly decreased or remain unchanged.

Additional information on this topic, including reviews of newer publications as they become available, can be found at <http://www.co2science.org/subject/d/decompositionagri.php>.

References

Booker, F.L., Shafer, S.R., Wei, C.-M. and Horton, S.J. 2000. Carbon dioxide enrichment and nitrogen fertilization effects on cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) plant residue chemistry and decomposition. *Plant and Soil* **220**: 89-98.

Henning, F.P., Wood, C.W., Rogers, H.H., Runion, G.B. and Prior, S.A. 1996. Composition and decomposition of soybean and sorghum tissues grown under elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide. *Journal of Environmental Quality* **25**: 822-827.

Torbert, H.A., Prior, S.A., Rogers, H.H. and Runion, G.B. 1998. Crop residue decomposition as affected by growth under elevated atmospheric CO₂. *Soil Science* **163**: 412-419.

Van Vuuren, M.M.I., Robinson, D., Scrimgeour, C.M., Raven, J.A. and Fitter, A.H. 2000. Decomposition of ¹³C-labelled wheat root systems following growth at different CO₂ concentrations. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* **32**: 403-413.

7.1.3. Grassland Species

Grassland species grown at elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentrations nearly always exhibit increased photosynthetic rates and biomass production. Due to this productivity enhancement, more plant material is typically added to soils from root growth, turnover and exudation, as well as from leaves and stems following their abscission during senescence. Such plant material, however, sometimes has a greater carbon-to-nitrogen ratio than plant material produced under ambient CO₂ concentrations. Thus, a question arises as to whether or not such changes in plant litter quality affect its decomposition rate. And if a change in decomposition rate occurs, there is the subsequent question of how that phenomenon might impact biological carbon sequestration. In searching for answers to these thought-provoking questions, we turn to the scientific literature to see what has recently been published in this field.

In the study of Nitschelm *et al.* (1997), white clover exposed to an atmospheric CO₂ concentration of 600 ppm for one growing season channeled 50% more newly-fixed carbon compounds into the soil than similar plants exposed to ambient air. In addition, the clover's roots decomposed at a rate that was 24% slower than that observed for roots of control plants, as has also been reported for white clover by David *et al.* (2001). These observations suggest that soil carbon sequestration under white clover ecosystems will be greatly enhanced as the

air's CO₂ content continues to rise, as was also shown for moderately fertile sandstone grasslands (Hu *et al.*, 2001).

Similar results have been observed with mini-ecosystems comprised entirely of perennial ryegrass. Van Ginkel *et al.* (1996), for example, demonstrated that exposing this species to an atmospheric CO₂ concentration of 700 ppm for two months caused a 92% increase in root growth and 19 and 14% decreases in root decomposition rates one and two-years, respectively, after incubating ground roots within soils. This work was later followed up by Van Ginkel and Gorissen (1998), who showed a 13% reduction in the decomposition rates of CO₂-enriched perennial ryegrass roots in both disturbed and undisturbed root profiles. This and other work led the authors to calculate that CO₂-induced reductions in the decomposition of perennial ryegrass litter, which enhances soil carbon sequestration, could well be large enough to remove over half of the anthropogenic CO₂ emissions that may be released in the next century (Van Ginkel *et al.*, 1999). Furthermore, Van Ginkel *et al.* (2000) determined that predicted increases in surface air temperature would not adversely impact the strength of this powerful biological carbon sink. Indeed, after experimentally raising ambient air temperatures by 2 °C, they reported that CO₂-induced reductions in litter decomposition rates were still 12% slower than those of litter grown in ambient air. In fact, even a 6 °C increase in air temperature could not destroy the CO₂-induced reductions in litter decomposition rates.

In some cases, atmospheric CO₂ enrichment has little or no significant effects on litter quality and subsequent rates of litter decomposition, as was the case in the study of Hirschel *et al.* (1997) for lowland calcareous and high alpine grassland species. Similar non-effects of elevated CO₂ on litter decomposition have also been reported in a California grassland (Dukes and Field, 2000).

In light of these several experimental findings, it would appear that as the air's CO₂ concentration increases, litter decomposition rates of grassland species will likely decline, increasing the amount of carbon sequestered in grassland soils. Since this phenomenon is augmented by the aerial fertilization effect of atmospheric CO₂ enrichment, which leads to the production of greater amounts of litter, there is thus a double reason for expecting more carbon to be removed from the atmosphere by earth's grasslands in the future.

Additional information on this topic, including reviews of newer publications as they become available, can be found at <http://www.co2science.org/subject/d/decompositiongrass.php>.

References

- David, J.-F., Malet, N., Couteaux, M.-M. and Roy, J. 2001. Feeding rates of the woodlouse *Armadillidium vulgare* on herb litters produced at two levels of atmospheric CO₂. *Oecologia* **127**: 343-349.
- Dukes, J.S. and Field, C.B. 2000. Diverse mechanisms for CO₂ effects on grassland litter decomposition. *Global Change Biology* **6**: 145-154.

Hirschel, G., Korner, C. and Arnone III, J.A. 1997. Will rising atmospheric CO₂ affect leaf litter quality and in situ decomposition rates in native plant communities? *Oecologia* **110**: 387-392.

Hu, S., Chapin III, F.S., Firestone, M.K., Field, C.B. and Chiariello, N.R. 2001. Nitrogen limitation of microbial decomposition in a grassland under elevated CO₂. *Nature* **409**: 188-191.

Nitschelm, J.J., Luscher, A., Hartwig, U.A. and van Kessel, C. 1997. Using stable isotopes to determine soil carbon input differences under ambient and elevated atmospheric CO₂ conditions. *Global Change Biology* **3**: 411-416.

Van Ginkel, J.H. and Gorissen, A. 1998. In situ decomposition of grass roots as affected by elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* **62**: 951-958.

Van Ginkel, J.H., Gorissen, A. and Polci, D. 2000. Elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration: effects of increased carbon input in a *Lolium perenne* soil on microorganisms and decomposition. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* **32**: 449-456.

Van Ginkel, J.H., Whitmore, A.P. and Gorissen, A. 1999. *Lolium perenne* grasslands may function as a sink for atmospheric carbon dioxide. *Journal of Environmental Quality* **28**: 1580-1584.

Van Ginkel, J.H., Gorissen, A. and van Veen, J.A. 1996. Long-term decomposition of grass roots as affected by elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide. *Journal of Environmental Quality* **25**: 1122-1128.

7.1.4. Woody Plants

The sequestering of carbon in the soils upon which woody plants grow has the potential to provide a powerful brake on the rate of rise of the air's CO₂ content *if the plant litter that is incorporated into those soils does not decompose more rapidly in a CO₂-enriched atmosphere than it does in current ambient air*. Hence, it is important to determine if this latter constraint is true or false; and in this section we review this question with respect to litter produced by conifers and deciduous trees.

7.1.4.1. Conifers

Scherzel *et al.* (1998) exposed seedlings of two eastern white pine genotypes to elevated concentrations of atmospheric CO₂ and O₃ in open-top chambers for four full growing seasons, finding no changes in the decomposition rates of the litter of either genotype to the concentration increases of either of these two gases. Likewise, Kainulainen *et al.* (2003) could find no evidence that the litter of 22-year-old Scots pine trees that had been exposed to elevated concentrations of CO₂ and O₃ for three full years decomposed any faster or slower than litter produced in ambient air. In addition, Finzi and Schlesinger (2002) found that the decomposition rate of litter from 13-year-old loblolly pine trees was unaffected by elevated CO₂ concentrations maintained for a period of two full years in a FACE study.

In light of these observations, plus the fact that Saxe *et al.* (1998) have determined that a doubling of the air's CO₂ content leads to *more* than a doubling of the biomass production of coniferous species, it logically follows that the ongoing rise in the atmosphere's CO₂ concentration is increasing carbon sequestration rates in the soils upon which conifers grow and, hence, is producing a significant negative feedback phenomenon that slows the rate of rise of the air's CO₂ content, which would be assumed by many to be reducing the rate of global warming.

Additional information on this topic, including reviews of newer publications as they become available, can be found at <http://www.co2science.org/subject/d/decomconifers.php>.

References

Finzi, A.C. and Schlesinger, W.H. 2002. Species control variation in litter decomposition in a pine forest exposed to elevated CO₂. *Global Change Biology* **8**: 1217-1229.

Kainulainen, P., Holopainen, T. and Holopainen, J.K. 2003. Decomposition of secondary compounds from needle litter of Scots pine grown under elevated CO₂ and O₃. *Global Change Biology* **9**: 295-304.

Saxe, H., Ellsworth, D.S. and Heath, J. 1998. Tree and forest functioning in an enriched CO₂ atmosphere. *New Phytologist* **139**: 395-436.

Scherzel, A.J., Rebbeck, J. and Boerner, R.E.J. 1998. Foliar nitrogen dynamics and decomposition of yellow-poplar and eastern white pine during four seasons of exposure to elevated ozone and carbon dioxide. *Forest Ecology and Management* **109**: 355-366.

7.1.4.2. Deciduous Trees

Scherzel *et al.* (1998) exposed seedlings of yellow poplar trees to elevated concentrations of atmospheric CO₂ and O₃ in open-top chambers for four full growing seasons, finding that rates of litter decomposition were similar for all treatments for the first five months of the study. Thereafter, however, litter produced in the elevated O₃ and CO₂ treatment decomposed at a significantly slower rate, such that after two years had passed, the litter from the elevated O₃ and CO₂ treatment contained approximately 12% more biomass than the litter from any other treatment.

Cotrufo *et al.* (1998) grew two-year-old ash and sycamore seedlings for one growing season in closed-top chambers maintained at atmospheric CO₂ concentrations of 350 and 600 ppm. The high-CO₂ air increased lignin contents in the litter produced from both tree species, which likely contributed to the decreased litter decomposition rates observed in the CO₂-enriched chambers. After one-year of incubation, for example, litter bags from the CO₂-enriched trees of both species had about 30% more dry mass remaining in them than litter bags from the ambient trees. In addition, woodlouse arthropods consumed 16% *less* biomass when fed litter

generated from seedlings grown at 600 ppm CO₂ than when fed litter generated from seedlings grown in ambient air.

De Angelis *et al.* (2000) constructed large open-top chambers around 30-year-old mixed stands of naturally growing Mediterranean forest species (dominated by *Quercus ilex*, *Phillyrea angustifolia*, and *Pistacia lentiscus*) near the coast of central Italy. Half of the chambers were exposed to ambient air of 350 ppm CO₂, while half were exposed to air of 710 ppm CO₂; and after three years, the lignin and carbon concentrations of the leaf litter of all three species were increased by 18 and 4%, respectively, while their nitrogen concentrations were reduced by 13%. These changes resulted in a 20% CO₂-induced increase in the carbon-to-nitrogen ratio of the leaf litter, which parameter is commonly used to predict decomposition rates, where larger ratios are generally associated with less rapid decomposition than smaller ratios. This case was no exception, with 4% less decomposition occurring in the leaf litter gathered from beneath the CO₂-enriched trees than in the litter collected from beneath the trees growing in ambient air.

Cotrufo and Ineson (2000) grew beech seedlings for five years in open-top chambers fumigated with air containing either 350 or 700 ppm CO₂. Subsequently, woody twigs from each CO₂ treatment were collected and incubated in native forest soils for 42 months, after which they determined there was no significant effect of the differential CO₂ exposure during growth on subsequent woody twig decomposition, although the mean decomposition rate of the CO₂-enriched twigs was 5% less than that of the ambient-treatment twigs.

Conway *et al.* (2000) grew two-year-old ash tree seedlings in solardomes maintained at atmospheric CO₂ concentrations of 350 and 600 ppm, after which naturally-senesced leaves were collected, inoculated with various fungal species, and incubated for 42 days. They found that the elevated CO₂ significantly reduced the amount of nitrogen in the senesced leaves, thus giving the CO₂-enriched leaf litter a higher carbon to nitrogen ratio than the litter collected from the seedlings growing in ambient air. This change likely contributed to the observed reductions in the amount of fungal colonization present on the senesced leaves from the CO₂-enriched treatment, which would be expected to result in reduced rates of leaf decomposition.

King *et al.* (2001) grew aspen seedlings for five months in open-top chambers receiving atmospheric CO₂ concentrations of 350 and 700 ppm. At the end of this period, naturally senesced leaf litter was collected, analyzed and allowed to decompose under ambient conditions for 111 days. Although the elevated CO₂ slightly lowered leaf litter nitrogen content, it had no effect on litter sugar, starch or tannin concentrations. Thus, with little to no CO₂-induced effects on leaf litter quality, it was not surprising there was no CO₂-induced effect on litter decomposition.

Dilustro *et al.* (2001) erected open-top chambers around portions of a regenerating oak-palmetto scrub ecosystem in Florida, USA, and maintained them at CO₂ concentrations of either 350 or 700 ppm, after which they incubated ambient- and elevated-CO₂-produced fine roots for 2.2 years in the chamber soils, which were nutrient-poor and often water-stressed. They found that the elevated CO₂ did not significantly affect the decomposition rates of the fine roots originating from either the ambient or CO₂-enriched environments.

In summarizing the results of these seven studies of deciduous tree species, five are suggestive of slight *reductions* in litter decomposition rates under CO₂-enriched growth conditions, while two show no effect. Hence, with deciduous trees exhibiting large growth enhancements in response to atmospheric CO₂ enrichment, we can expect to see large increases in the amounts of carbon they sequester in the soils on which they grow as the air's CO₂ content continues to rise. And this phenomenon should slow the rate of rise of the atmosphere's CO₂ concentration and thereby reduce the impetus for CO₂-induced global warming.

Additional information on this topic, including reviews of newer publications as they become available, can be found at <http://www.co2science.org/subject/d/decompdeciduous.php>.

References

Conway, D.R., Frankland, J.C., Saunders, V.A. and Wilson, D.R. 2000. Effects of elevated atmospheric CO₂ on fungal competition and decomposition of *Fraxinus excelsior* litter in laboratory microcosms. *Mycology Research* **104**: 187-197.

Cotrufo, M.F. and Ineson, P. 2000. Does elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentration affect wood decomposition? *Plant and Soil* **224**: 51-57.

Cotrufo, M.F., Briones, M.J.I. and Ineson, P. 1998. Elevated CO₂ affects field decomposition rate and palatability of tree leaf litter: importance of changes in substrate quality. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **30**: 1565-1571.

De Angelis, P., Chigwerewe, K.S. and Mugnozza, G.E.S. 2000. Litter quality and decomposition in a CO₂-enriched Mediterranean forest ecosystem. *Plant and Soil* **224**: 31-41.

Dilustro, J.J., Day, F.P. and Drake, B.G. 2001. Effects of elevated atmospheric CO₂ on root decomposition in a scrub oak ecosystem. *Global Change Biology* **7**: 581-589.

King, J.S., Pregitzer, K.S., Zak, D.R., Kubiske, M.E., Ashby, J.A. and Holmes, W.E. 2001. Chemistry and decomposition of litter from *Populus tremuloides* Michaux grown at elevated atmospheric CO₂ and varying N availability. *Global Change Biology* **7**: 65-74.

Scherzel, A.J., Rebbeck, J. and Boerner, R.E.J. 1998. Foliar nitrogen dynamics and decomposition of yellow-poplar and eastern white pine during four seasons of exposure to elevated ozone and carbon dioxide. *Forest Ecology and Management* **109**: 355-366.

7.2. Agriculture

7.2.1. General

Agricultural and forage plants grown in elevated CO₂ concentrations nearly always exhibit increased photosynthetic rates and biomass production. Because of this greater growth, more

plant material is typically added to soils from root growth, turnover, and exudation, as well as from leaves and stems following their abscission during senescence. Consequently, these phenomena tend to increase soil carbon contents in CO₂-enriched atmospheres.

In an open-top chamber experiment conducted in Switzerland, for example, Nitschelm *et al.* (1997) reported that a 71% increase in the atmospheric CO₂ concentration above white clover monocultures led to a 50% increase in soil organic carbon content. Related studies on wheat and soybean agroecosystems (Islam *et al.*, 1999) provided similar results, as did a FACE experiment on cotton, which documented a 10% increase in soil organic carbon content in plots receiving 550 ppm CO₂ relative to those receiving 370 ppm (Leavitt *et al.*, 1994).

Atmospheric CO₂ enrichment not only increases the amount of carbon entering soils, but it often maintains (Henning *et al.*, 1996), or even increases, its residency time within that significant carbon pool. Torbert *et al.* (1998), for example, demonstrated that decomposition rates of CO₂-enriched sorghum and soybean plant litter were significantly less than those measured for control litter produced at ambient CO₂ concentration, as did Nitschelm *et al.* (1997), who reported a 24% decrease in the decomposition of CO₂-enriched white clover roots. Furthermore, after two months of decomposition in the experiment of Torbert *et al.* (1998), 40% less carbon had evolved from soils containing CO₂-enriched plant litter.

In summary, these studies demonstrate that atmospheric CO₂ enrichment will likely increase soil carbon inputs while either maintaining or decreasing CO₂ efflux from agricultural soils. Thus, as the CO₂ content of the air increases, these two interacting phenomena will likely increase the carbon sequestering abilities of earth's agroecosystems. Moreover, as the organic carbon contents of agricultural soils increase, soil structure and quality will simultaneously be improved, which should enhance plant growth even more, leading to additional carbon sequestration under CO₂-enriched conditions (Rasmussen *et al.*, 1998; Islam *et al.*, 1999).

Additional information on this topic, including reviews of newer publications as they become available, can be found at <http://www.co2science.org/subject/c/carbonag.php>.

References

Henning, F.P., Wood, C.W., Rogers, H.H., Runion, G.B. and Prior, S.A. 1996. Composition and decomposition of soybean and sorghum tissues grown under elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide. *Journal of Environmental Quality* **25**: 822-827.

Islam, K.R., Mulchi, C.L. and Ali, A.A. 1999. Tropospheric carbon dioxide or ozone enrichments and moisture effects on soil organic carbon quality. *Journal of Environmental Quality* **28**: 1629-1636.

Leavitt, S.W., Paul, E.A., Kimball, B.A., Hendrey, G.R., Mauney, J.R., Rauschkolb, R., Rogers, H., Nagy, J., Pinter Jr., P.J. and Johnson, H.B. 1994. Carbon isotope dynamics of free-air CO₂-enriched cotton and soils. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* **70**: 87-101.

Nitschelm, J.J., Luscher, A., Hatrwig, U.A. and van Kessel, C. 1997. Using stable isotopes to determine soil carbon input differences under ambient and elevated atmospheric CO₂ conditions. *Global Change Biology* **3**: 411-416.

Rasmussen, P.E., Goulding, K.W.T., Brown, J.R., Grace, P.R., Janzen, H.H. and Korschens, M. 1998. Long-term agroecosystem experiments: assessing agricultural sustainability and global change. *Science* **282**: 893-896.

Torbert, H.A., Prior, S.A., Rogers, H.H. and Runion, G.B. 1998. Crop residue decomposition as affected by growth under elevated atmospheric CO₂. *Soil Science* **163**: 412-419.

7.2.2. CO₂ x Temperature Interaction

Rising CO₂ levels tend to maintain (Henning *et al.*, 1996) or decrease (Torbert *et al.*, 1998; Nitschelm *et al.*, 1997) CO₂ fluxes from agricultural soils. Consequently, these phenomena tend to increase the carbon contents of most soils in CO₂-enriched atmospheres. However, it is sometimes suggested that rising air temperatures, which can accelerate the breakdown of soil organic matter and increase biological respiration rates, could negate this CO₂-induced enhancement of carbon sequestration, possibly leading to an even greater release of carbon back to the atmosphere. Thus, we turn to the scientific literature for added light on this issue.

Casella and Soussana (1997) grew perennial ryegrass in controlled environments receiving ambient and elevated (700 ppm) atmospheric CO₂ concentrations, two levels of soil nitrogen, and ambient and elevated (+3°C) air temperatures for a period of two years, finding that "a relatively large part of the additional photosynthetic carbon is stored below-ground during the two first growing seasons after exposure to elevated CO₂, thereby increasing significantly the below-ground carbon pool." At the low and high levels of soil nitrogen supply, for example, the elevated CO₂ increased soil carbon storage by 32 and 96%, respectively, "with no significant increased temperature effect." The authors thus concluded that *in spite of predicted increases in temperature*, "this stimulation of the below-ground carbon sequestration in temperate grassland soils could exert a negative feed-back on the current rise of the atmospheric CO₂ concentration."

Much the same conclusion was reached by Van Ginkel *et al.* (1999). After reviewing prior experimental work that established the growth and decomposition responses of perennial ryegrass to both atmospheric CO₂ enrichment and increased temperature, these researchers concluded that, at both low and high soil nitrogen contents, CO₂-induced increases in plant growth and CO₂-induced *decreases* in plant decomposition rate are more than sufficient to counteract any enhanced soil respiration rate that might be caused by an increase in air temperature. In addition, after reconstructing carbon storage in the terrestrial vegetation of Northern Eurasia as far back as 125,000 years ago, Velichko *et al.* (1999) determined that plants in this part of the world were more productive and efficient in sequestering carbon at higher temperatures than they were at lower temperatures. Similarly, Allen *et al.*, (1999) used sediment cores from a lake in southern Italy and from the Mediterranean Sea to conclude that,

over the past 102,000 years, warmer climates have been better for vegetative productivity and carbon sequestration than have cooler climates.

In our own day, Liski *et al.* (1999) studied soil carbon storage across a temperature gradient in a Finnish boreal forest, determining that carbon sequestration in the soil of this forest *increased* with temperature. In deciduous forests of the eastern United States, White *et al.* (1999) also determined that persistent increases in growing season length (due to rising air temperatures) may lead to long-term increases in carbon storage, which, of course, tends to counterbalance - indeed, *overpower* - the effects of increasing air temperature on respiration rates. In fact, a data-driven analysis by Fan *et al.* (1998) suggests that the carbon sequestering abilities of North America's forests between 15 and 51°N latitude are so robust that they can yearly remove from the atmosphere all of the CO₂ annually released to it by fossil fuel consumption in both the United States and Canada (and this calculation was done during a time touted as having the warmest temperatures on record). Moreover, Phillips *et al.* (1998) have shown that carbon sequestration in tropical forests has increased substantially over the past 42 years, in spite of any temperature increases that may have occurred during that time.

In conclusion, research conducted to date strongly suggests that the CO₂-induced enhancement of vegetative carbon sequestration will not be reduced by any future rise in air temperature, regardless of its cause. In fact, it is likely that in a CO₂-enriched atmosphere, any increase in temperature will actually *enhance* biological carbon sequestration.

Additional information on this topic, including reviews of newer publications as they become available, can be found at <http://www.co2science.org/subject/c/carbonco2temp.php>.

References

Allen, J.R.M., Brandt, U., Brauer, A., Hubberten, H.-W., Huntley, B., Keller, J., Kraml, M., Mackensen, A., Mingham, J., Negendank, J.F.W., Nowaczyk, N.R., Oberhansli, H., Watts, W.A., Wulf, S. and Zolitschka, B. 1999. Rapid environmental changes in southern Europe during the last glacial period. *Nature* **400**: 740-743.

Casella, E. and Soussana, J-F. 1997. Long-term effects of CO₂ enrichment and temperature increase on the carbon balance of a temperate grass sward. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **48**: 1309-1321.

Fan, S., Gloor, M., Mahlman, J., Pacala, S., Sarmiento, J., Takahashi, T. and Tans, P. 1998. A large terrestrial carbon sink in North America implied by atmospheric and oceanic carbon dioxide data and models. *Science* **282**: 442-446.

Henning, F.P., Wood, C.W., Rogers, H.H., Runion, G.B. and Prior, S.A. 1996. Composition and decomposition of soybean and sorghum tissues grown under elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide. *Journal of Environmental Quality* **25**: 822-827.

Liski, J., Ilvesniemi, H., Makela, A. and Westman, C.J. 1999. CO₂ emissions from soil in response to climatic warming are overestimated - The decomposition of old soil organic matter is tolerant of temperature. *Ambio* **28**: 171-174.

Nitschelm, J.J., Luscher, A., Hatrwig, U.A. and van Kessel, C. 1997. Using stable isotopes to determine soil carbon input differences under ambient and elevated atmospheric CO₂ conditions. *Global Change Biology* **3**: 411-416.

Phillips, O.L., Malhi, Y., Higuchi, N., Laurance, W.F., Nunez, P.V., Vasquez, R.M., Laurance, S.G., Ferreira, L.V., Stern, M., Brown, S. and Grace, J. 1998. Changes in the carbon balance of tropical forests: Evidence from long-term plots. *Science* **282**: 439-442.

Torbert, H.A., Prior, S.A., Rogers, H.H. and Runion, G.B. 1998. Crop residue decomposition as affected by growth under elevated atmospheric CO₂. *Soil Science* **163**: 412-419.

Van Ginkel, J.H., Whitmore, A.P. and Gorissen, A. 1999. *Lolium perenne* grasslands may function as a sink for atmospheric carbon dioxide. *Journal of Environmental Quality* **28**: 1580-1584.

Velichko, A.A., Zelikson, E.M. and Borisova, O.K. 1999. Vegetation, phytomass and carbon storage in Northern Eurasia during the last glacial-interglacial cycle and the Holocene. *Chemical Geology* **159**: 191-204.

White, M.A., Running, S.W. and Thornton, P.E. 1999. The impact of growing-season length variability on carbon assimilation and evapotranspiration over 88 years in the eastern US deciduous forest. *International Journal of Biometeorology* **42**: 139-145.

7.3. Forests

7.3.1. General

As the CO₂ content of the air continues to rise, nearly all of earth's plants, including various forest ecosystems, will respond by increasing their photosynthetic rates and producing more biomass. These phenomena will allow long-lived perennial species characteristic of forest ecosystems to sequester large amounts of carbon within their wood for extended periods of time (Chambers *et al.*, 1998), which could ultimately counterbalance CO₂ emissions produced by mankind's usage of fossil fuels. Thus, it is important to summarize what is known about forest and forest-species responses to atmospheric CO₂ enrichment and subsequent carbon sequestration.

In reviewing studies that have been conducted on individual trees, it is clear that elevated levels of atmospheric CO₂ increase photosynthesis and growth in both broad-leaved and coniferous species. When broad-leaved trembling aspen (*Populus tremuloides*) were exposed to twice-ambient levels of atmospheric CO₂ for 2.5 years, for example, Pregitzer *et al.* (2000) reported 17

and 65% increases in fine root biomass at low and high levels of soil nitrogen, respectively; while Zak *et al.* (2000) observed 16 and 38% CO₂-induced increases in total tree biomass when subjected to the same respective levels of soil nitrogen.

Similar results have been reported for coniferous trees. When branches of Sitka spruce (*Picea sitchensis*) were fumigated with air of 700 ppm CO₂ for four years, rates of net photosynthesis in current and second-year needles were 100 and 43% higher, respectively, than photosynthetic rates of needles exposed to ambient air (Barton and Jarvis, 1999). In addition, ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa*) grown at 700 ppm CO₂ for close to 2.5 years exhibited rates of net photosynthesis in current-year needles that were 49% greater than those of needles exposed to air containing 350 ppm CO₂ (Houpis *et al.*, 1999). Many more such responses of trees to atmospheric CO₂ enrichment can also be found in our Subject Index under the general heading of Trees.

From the preceding material, it is clear that elevated CO₂ enhances photosynthetic rates and biomass production in forest trees, both of which phenomena lead to greater amounts of carbon sequestration. In addition, elevated CO₂ enhances carbon sequestration by reducing carbon losses arising from plant respiration. Karnosky *et al.* (1999), for example, reported that aspen seedlings grown for one year at 560 ppm CO₂ displayed dark respiration rates that were 24% lower than rates exhibited by trembling aspen grown at 360 ppm CO₂. Also, elevated CO₂ has been shown to decrease maintenance respiration, which it did by 60% in western hemlock seedlings exposed to an atmospheric CO₂ concentration of nearly 1600 ppm (McDowell *et al.*, 1999).

In a thorough review of these topics, Drake *et al.* (1999) concluded that, on average, a doubling of the atmospheric CO₂ concentration reduces plant respiration rates by approximately 17%. This finding contrasts strikingly with the much smaller effects reported by Amthor (2000), who found an average reduction in dark respiration of only 1.5% for nine deciduous trees species exposed to 800 ppm CO₂. The period of CO₂ exposure in his much shorter experiments, however, was but a mere 15 minutes. Hence, if the air's CO₂ content doubles, plants will likely sequester something on the order of 17% more carbon than ambiently-grown plants, solely as a consequence of CO₂-induced reductions in respiration. And it is good to remember that this stored carbon is in addition to that sequestered as a result of CO₂-induced increases in plant photosynthetic rates.

What is the fate of the extra carbon that is stored within plant tissues as a consequence of atmospheric CO₂ enrichment? Is it rapidly returned to the atmosphere following tissue senescence and decomposition? Or is it somehow locked away for long periods of time?

To answer these questions, it is important to note that atmospheric CO₂ enrichment typically reduces, or has no effect upon, decomposition rates of senesced plant material. De Angelis *et al.* (2000), for example, noted that when leaf litter from Mediterranean forest species exposed to 710 ppm CO₂ for 3.5 years was collected and allowed to decompose at 710 ppm CO₂ for approximately one year, it decomposed at a rate that was 4% less than that observed for leaf

litter produced and incubated at ambient CO₂ concentrations for one year. Similarly, leaf litter collected from yellow-poplar (*Liriodendron tulipifera*) seedlings exposed to 700 ppm CO₂ for four years contained 12% more biomass than leaf litter collected from seedlings grown at ambient CO₂, following two years of decomposition at their respective CO₂ growth concentrations (Scherzel *et al.*, 1998). However, Hirschel *et al.* (1997) found no significant CO₂-induced effects on decomposition rates in tropical rainforest species, as Scherzel *et al.* (1998) also found for eastern white pine (*Pinus strobes*). Thus, it would appear that elevated CO₂ typically reduces or has no effect upon plant litter decomposition rates. In addition, it is important to note that none of these decomposition studies looked at *wood*, which can sequester carbon for long periods of time, *even for millennia* (Chambers *et al.*, 1998), provided it is not burned.

Based upon several different types of empirical data, a number of researchers have concluded that current rates of carbon sequestration are robust and that future rates will increase with increasing atmospheric CO₂ concentrations. In the study of Fan *et al.* (1998) based on atmospheric measurements, for example, the broad-leaved forested region of North America between 15 and 51°N latitude was calculated to possess a current carbon sink that can annually remove all the CO₂ emitted into the air from fossil fuel combustion in both Canada and the United States. On another large scale, Phillips *et al.* (1998) used data derived from tree basal area to show that average forest biomass in the tropics has increased substantially over the last 40 years and that growth in the Neotropics alone can account for 40% of the missing carbon of the entire globe. And in looking to the future, White *et al.* (2000) have calculated that coniferous and mixed forests north of 50°N latitude will likely expand their northern and southern boundaries by about 50% due to the combined effects of increasing atmospheric CO₂, rising temperature, and nitrogen deposition.

The latter of these factors is an important variable. As indicated in the study of White *et al.* (2000), it can play an interactive role with increasing atmospheric CO₂ to increase plant growth and carbon sequestration. However, the magnitude of that role is still being debated. Nadelhoffer *et al.* (1999), for example, concluded that nitrogen deposition from human activities is "unlikely to be a major contributor" to the large CO₂ sink that exists in northern temperate forests. Houghton *et al.* (1998), however, feel that nitrogen deposition holds equal weight with CO₂ fertilization in the production of terrestrial carbon sinks; and Lloyd (1999) demonstrated that when CO₂ and nitrogen increase together, modeled forest productivity is greater than that predicted by the sum of the individual contributions of these two variables. Thus, anthropogenic nitrogen deposition can have anywhere from small to large positive effects on carbon sequestration, as well as everything in between.

In spite of these many positive findings, some have expressed concern that rising air temperatures will negatively impact carbon sequestration in forests. However, Liski *et al.* (1999) showed that carbon storage in soils of both high- and low-productivity boreal forests in Finland actually *increased* with increasing temperature, thereby putting to rest the idea that rising temperatures will spur carbon losses from soils and trees and exacerbate global warming. Similarly, King *et al.* (1999) showed that aspen seedlings increased their

photosynthetic rates and biomass production as temperatures rose from 10 to 29°C, putting to rest the idea that high-temperature-induced increases in respiration rates would cause net losses in carbon fixation. Moreover, White *et al.* (1999) showed that rising temperatures increased the growing season by about 15 days for 12 sites in deciduous forests located within the United States, causing a 1.6% increase in net ecosystem productivity per day. Thus, rather than exerting a negative influence on forest carbon sequestration, if air temperatures rise in the future they will likely have a positive effect on carbon storage in forests and their associated soils.

In conclusion, as the air's CO₂ content continues to rise, the ability of earth's forests to sequester carbon should also rise. With more CO₂ in the atmosphere, trees will likely exhibit greater rates of photosynthesis and reduced rates of respiration. Together, these observations - along with the finding that atmospheric CO₂ enrichment has little or no effect on plant tissue decomposition rate - suggest that biologically-fixed carbon will experience greater residency times within plant tissues. And if this carbon is directed into wood production, which increases substantially with atmospheric CO₂ enrichment, some of it can be kept out of circulation for a very long time, possibly even a millennium or more.

Additional information on this topic, including reviews of newer publications as they become available, can be found at <http://www.co2science.org/subject/c/carbonforests.php>.

References

- Amthor, J.S. 2000. Direct effect of elevated CO₂ on nocturnal in situ leaf respiration in nine temperate deciduous tree species is small. *Tree Physiology* **20**: 139-144.
- Barton, C.V.M. and Jarvis, P.G. 1999. Growth response of branches of *Picea sitchensis* to four years exposure to elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration. *New Phytologist* **144**: 233-243.
- Chambers, J.Q., Higuchi, N. and Schimel, J.P. 1998. Ancient trees in Amazonia. *Nature* **391**: 135-136.
- De Angelis, P., Chigwerewe, K.S. and Mugnozza, G.E.S. 2000. Litter quality and decomposition in a CO₂-enriched Mediterranean forest ecosystem. *Plant and Soil* **224**: 31-41.
- Drake, B.G., Azcon-Bieto, J., Berry, J., Bunce, J., Dijkstra, P., Farrar, J., Gifford, R.M., Gonzalez-Meler, M.A., Koch, G., Lambers, H., Siedow, J. and Wullschlegel, S. 1999. Does elevated atmospheric CO₂ inhibit mitochondrial respiration in green plants? *Plant, Cell and Environment* **22**: 649-657.
- Fan, S., Gloor, M., Mahlman, J., Pacala, S., Sarmiento, J., Takahashi, T. and Tans, P. 1998. A large terrestrial carbon sink in North America implied by atmospheric and oceanic carbon dioxide data and models. *Science* **282**: 442-446.

Hirschel, G., Korner, C. and Arnone III, J.A. 1997. Will rising atmospheric CO₂ affect leaf litter quality and in situ decomposition rates in native plant communities? *Oecologia* **110**: 387-392.

Houghton, R.A., Davidson, E.A. and Woodwell, G.M. 1998. Missing sinks, feedbacks, and understanding the role of terrestrial ecosystems in the global carbon balance. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* **12**: 25-34.

Houpis, J.L.J., Anderson, P.D., Pushnik, J.C. and Ansel, D.J. 1999. Among-provenance variability of gas exchange and growth in response to long-term elevated CO₂ exposure. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution* **116**: 403-412.

Karnosky, D.F., Mankovska, B., Percy, K., Dickson, R.E., Podila, G.K., Sober, J., Noormets, A., Hendrey, G., Coleman, M.D., Kubiske, M., Pregitzer, K.S. and Isebrands, J.G. 1999. Effects of tropospheric O₃ on trembling aspen and interaction with CO₂: results from an O₃-gradient and a FACE experiment. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution* **116**: 311-322.

King, J.S., Pregitzer, K.S. and Zak, D.R. 1999. Clonal variation in above- and below-ground responses of *Populus tremuloides* Michaux: Influence of soil warming and nutrient availability. *Plant and Soil* **217**: 119-130.

Liski, J., Ilvesniemi, H., Makela, A. and Westman, C.J. 1999. CO₂ emissions from soil in response to climatic warming are overestimated - The decomposition of old soil organic matter is tolerant of temperature. *Ambio* **28**: 171-174.

Lloyd, J. 1999. The CO₂ dependence of photosynthesis, plant growth responses to elevated CO₂ concentrations and their interaction with soil nutrient status, II. Temperate and boreal forest productivity and the combined effects of increasing CO₂ concentrations and increased nitrogen deposition at a global scale. *Functional Ecology* **13**: 439-459.

McDowell, N.G., Marshall, J.D., Qi, J. and Mattson, K. 1999. Direct inhibition of maintenance respiration in western hemlock roots exposed to ambient soil carbon dioxide concentrations. *Tree Physiology* **19**: 599-605.

Nadelhoffer, K.J., Emmett, B.A., Gundersen, P., Kjonaas, O.J., Koopmans, C.J., Schleppei, P., Tietema, A. and Wright, R.F. 1999. Nitrogen deposition makes a minor contribution to carbon sequestration in temperate forests. *Nature* **398**: 145-148.

Phillips, O.L., Malhi, Y., Higuchi, N., Laurance, W.F., Nunez, P.V., Vasquez, R.M., Laurance, S.G., Ferreira, L.V., Stern, M., Brown, S. and Grace, J. 1998. Changes in the carbon balance of tropical forests: Evidence from long-term plots. *Science* **282**: 439-442.

Pregitzer, K.S., Zak, D.R., Maziaasz, J., DeForest, J., Curtis, P.S. and Lussenhop, J. 2000. Interactive effects of atmospheric CO₂ and soil-N availability on fine roots of *Populus tremuloides*. *Ecological Applications* **10**: 18-33.

Scherzel, A.J., Rebbeck, J. and Boerner, R.E.J. 1998. Foliar nitrogen dynamics and decomposition of yellow-poplar and eastern white pine during four seasons of exposure to elevated ozone and carbon dioxide. *Forest Ecology and Management* **109**: 355-366.

White, A., Cannell, M.G.R. and Friend, A.D. 2000. The high-latitude terrestrial carbon sink: a model analysis. *Global Change Biology* **6**: 227-245.

White, M.A., Running, S.W. and Thornton, P.E. 1999. The impact of growing-season length variability on carbon assimilation and evapotranspiration over 88 years in the eastern US deciduous forest. *International Journal of Biometeorology* **42**: 139-145.

Zak, D.R., Pregitzer, K.S., Curtis, P.S., Vogel, C.S., Holmes, W.E. and Lussenhop, J. 2000. Atmospheric CO₂, soil-N availability, and allocation of biomass and nitrogen by *Populus tremuloides*. *Ecological Applications* **10**: 34-46.

7.3.2. Old Forests

According to the Technical Support Document of the ASPR, "rising CO₂ will very likely increase photosynthesis for forests, but this increase will likely only enhance wood production in young forests on fertile soils." In this section, we highlight the results of several peer-reviewed scientific journal articles that suggest this statement is not correct. In addition to enhancing the growth and production of young forests, available research clearly indicates that rising atmospheric CO₂ concentrations have been and will continue to increase the productivity and growth of older forests.

For most of the past century it was believed that old-growth forests, such as those of Amazonia, should be close to dynamic equilibrium. Just the opposite, however, has been repeatedly observed over the past two decades.

In one of the first studies to illuminate this reality, Phillips and Gentry (1994) analyzed the turnover rates - which are close correlates of net productivity (Weaver and Murphy, 1990) - of forty tropical forests from all around the world. They found that the growth rates of these already highly productive forests had been rising ever higher since at least 1960, and that they had experienced an apparent acceleration in growth rate sometime after 1980. Commenting on these findings, Pimm and Sugden (1994) reported that the consistency and simultaneity of the forest growth trends that Phillips and Gentry had documented on several continents led them to conclude that "enhanced productivity induced by increased CO₂ is the most plausible candidate for the cause of the increased turnover."

A few years later, Phillips *et al.* (1998) analyzed forest growth rate data for the period 1958 to 1996 for several hundred plots of mature tropical trees scattered around the world, finding that tropical forest biomass, as a whole, increased substantially over the period of record. In fact, the increase in the Neotropics was equivalent to approximately 40% of the missing terrestrial

carbon sink of the entire globe. Consequently, they concluded that tropical forests "may be helping to buffer the rate of increase in atmospheric CO₂, thereby reducing the impacts of global climate change." And, again, they identified the aerial fertilization effect of the ongoing rise in the air's CO₂ content as one of the primary factors likely to be responsible for this phenomenon.

More recently, Laurance *et al.* (2004a) reported accelerated growth in the 1990s relative to the 1980s for the large majority (87%) of tree genera in 18 one-hectare plots spanning an area of about 300 km² in central Amazonia, while Laurance *et al.* (2004b) observed similarly accelerated tree community dynamics in the 1990s relative to the 1980s. In addition, Baker *et al.* (2004) reported there has been a net increase in biomass in old-growth Amazonian forests in recent decades at a rate of $1.22 \pm 0.42 \text{ Mg ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, slightly greater than that originally estimated by Phillips *et al.* (1998). And once again, it was suggested, in the words of Laurance *et al.* (2005), that these "pervasive changes in central Amazonian tree communities were most likely caused by global- or regional-scale drivers, such as increasing atmospheric CO₂ concentrations (Laurance *et al.*, 2004a,b)."

Expanding upon this theme, Laurance *et al.* (2005) say they "interpreted these changes as being consistent with an ecological 'signature' expected from increasing forest productivity (cf., Phillips and Gentry, 1994; Lewis *et al.* 2004a,b; Phillips *et al.*, 2004)." They note, however, that they have been challenged in this conclusion by Nelson (2005), and they thus go on to consider his arguments in some detail, methodically dismantling them one by one.

Evidence of increasing dynamism and productivity of intact tropical forests continued, with Lewis *et al.*, (2004a) reporting that among 50 old-growth plots scattered across tropical South America, "stem recruitment, stem mortality, and biomass growth, and loss, *all* increased significantly." In summarizing these and other findings, Lewis (2006) reports that over the past two decades, "these forests have shown concerted changes in their ecology, becoming, on average, faster growing - more productive - and more dynamic, and showing a net increase in above-ground biomass," all of which rates of increase are greater than the previously documented increases in the rates of these phenomena. What is more, Lewis says that "preliminary analyses also suggest the African and Australian forests are showing structural changes similar to South American forests."

So why should we care about growth trends of old forests?

The planting and preservation of forests has long been acknowledged to be an effective and environmentally-friendly (indeed, *enhancing*) means for slowing climate-model-predicted CO₂-induced global warming. This prescription for moderating potential climate change is based on two well-established and very straightforward facts: (1) the carbon trees use to construct their tissues comes from the air, and (2) its extraction from the atmosphere slows the rate of rise of the air's CO₂ content.

Although simple enough that a child can understand it, this potential partial solution to the putative global warming problem has been under attack for several years by people who seek to address the issue solely on the basis of forced reductions in anthropogenic CO₂ emissions (Pearce, 1999). The tack they take in this campaign is to claim that carbon sequestration by forests is only viable when forests are young and growing vigorously. As forests age, as claimed in the CRDs, they gradually lose their carbon sequestering prowess, such that forests more than one hundred years old become essentially useless for removing CO₂ from the air, as they claim such ancient and decrepit stands yearly lose as much CO₂ via respiration as what they take in via photosynthesis.

Although demonstrably erroneous, with repeated telling the twisted tale actually begins to sound reasonable. After all, doesn't the metabolism of every living thing slow down as it gets older? We grudgingly admit that it does -- even with trees -- but some trees live a remarkably long time. In Panama (Condit *et al.*, 1995), Brazil (Chambers *et al.*, 1998; Laurance *et al.*, 2004; Chambers *et al.*, 2001), and many parts of the southwestern United States (Graybill and Idso, 1993), for example, individuals of a number of different species have been shown to live for nearly one and a half *millennia*. At a hundred years of age, these super-slurpers of CO₂ are mere *youngsters*. And in their *really* old age, their appetite for the vital gas, though diminished, is not lost. In fact, Chambers *et al.* (1998) indicate that the long-lived trees of Brazil continue to experience protracted slow growth *even at 1400 years of age*. And protracted slow growth (evident in yearly increasing trunk diameters) of very old and *large* trees can absorb a huge amount of CO₂ out of the air each year, especially when, as noted by Chambers *et al.* (1998) with respect to the Brazilian forests in the central Amazon, about 50% of their above-ground biomass is contained in less than the largest 10% of their trees. Consequently, since the life span of these massive long-lived trees is considerably greater than the projected life span of the entire "Age of Fossil Fuels," their cultivation and preservation represents an essentially *permanent* partial solution to the perceived problem of the dreaded global warming that climate alarmists ascribe to anthropogenic CO₂ emissions.

As important as are these facts about *trees*, however, there's an even more important fact that comes into play in the case of *forests* and their ability to sequester carbon over long periods of time. This little-acknowledged piece of information is the fact that it is the forest itself -- conceptualized as a huge super-organism, if you will -- that is the unit of primary importance when it comes to determining the ultimate amount of carbon that can be sequestered on a unit area of land. And it when it comes to elucidating this concept, it seems that a lot of climate alarmists and political opportunists can't seem to see the forest for the trees that comprise it.

That this difference in perspective can have enormous consequences has been clearly demonstrated by Cary *et al.* (2001), who note that most models of forest carbon sequestration *wrongly* assume that "age-related growth trends of individual trees and even-aged, monospecific stands can be extended to natural forests." When they compared the predictions of such models against real-world data they gathered from northern Rocky Mountain subalpine forests that ranged in age from 67 to 458 years, for example, they found that aboveground net primary productivity in 200-year-old natural stands was almost *twice* as great as that of

modeled stands, and that the difference between the two increased linearly throughout the entire sampled age range.

So what's the explanation for the huge discrepancy? Cary *et al.* suggest that long-term *recruitment* and the periodic appearance of additional late-successional species (increasing biodiversity) may have significant effects on stand productivity, infusing the primary unit of concern, i.e., the ever-evolving forest super-organism, with greater vitality than would have been projected on the basis of characteristics possessed by the unit earlier in its life. They also note that by not including effects of size- or age-dependent decreases in stem and branch respiration per unit of sapwood volume in models of forest growth, respiration in older stands can be over-estimated by a factor of two to five.

How serious are these model shortcomings? For the real-world forests studied by Cary *et al.*, they produce predictions of carbon sequestration that are only a little over half as large as what is observed in nature for 200-year-old forests; while for 400-year-old forests they produce results that are only about a third as large as what is characteristic of the real world. And as the forests grow older still, the difference between reality and model projections grows right along with them.

Another study relevant to the suitability of forests to act as long-term carbon sinks was conducted by Lou *et al.* (2003), who analyzed data obtained from the Duke Forest FACE experiment, in which three 30-meter-diameter plots within a 13-year old forest (composed primarily of loblolly pines with sweetgum and yellow poplar trees as sub-dominants, together with numerous other trees, shrubs and vines that occupy still smaller niches) began to be enriched with an extra 200 ppm of CO₂ in August of 1996, while three similar plots were maintained at the ambient atmospheric CO₂ concentration. A number of papers describing different facets of this still-ongoing long-term study have been published; and as recounted by Lou *et al.*, they have revealed the existence of a CO₂-induced "sustained photosynthetic stimulation at leaf and canopy levels [Myers *et al.*, 1999; Ellsworth, 2000; Luo *et al.*, 2001; Lai *et al.*, 2002], which resulted in sustained stimulation of wood biomass increment [Hamilton *et al.*, 2002] and a larger carbon accumulation in the forest floor at elevated CO₂ than at ambient CO₂ [Schlesinger and Lichten, 2001]."

Based upon these findings and what they imply about rates of carbon removal from the atmosphere and its different residence times in plant, litter and soil carbon pools, Luo *et al.* developed a model for studying the sustainability of forest carbon sequestration. Applying this model to a situation where the atmospheric CO₂ concentration gradually rises from a value of 378 ppm in 2000 to a value of 710 ppm in 2100, they calculated that the carbon sequestration rate of the Duke Forest would rise from an initial value of 69 g m⁻² yr⁻¹ to a final value of 201 g m⁻² yr⁻¹, which is a far, far cry from the sad scenario promulgated by the cadre of climate alarmists that have long claimed earth's forests will have released much of the carbon they had previously absorbed as early as the year 2050 (Pearce, 1999).

Another study that supports the long-term viability of carbon sequestration by forests was conducted by Paw U *et al.* (2004), who also note that old-growth forests have generally been considered to "represent carbon sources or are neutral (Odum, 1963, 1965)," stating that "it is generally assumed that forests reach maximum productivity at an intermediate age and productivity declines in mature and old-growth stands (Franklin, 1988), presumably as dead woody debris and other respiratory demands increase." More particularly, they report that a number of articles have suggested that "old-growth conifer forests are at equilibrium with respect to net ecosystem productivity or net ecosystem exchange (DeBell and Franklin, 1987; Franklin and DeBell, 1988; Schulze *et al.*, 1999), as an age-class end point of ecosystem development."

To see if these claims had any merit, Paw U *et al.* used an eddy covariance technique to estimate the CO₂ exchange rate of the oldest forest ecosystem (500 years old) in the AmeriFlux network of carbon-flux measurement stations -- the Wind River old-growth forest in southwestern Washington, USA, which is composed mainly of Douglas-fir and western Hemlock -- over a period of 16 months, from May 1998 to August 1999. Throughout this period, the fourteen scientists report "there were no monthly averages with net release of CO₂," and that the cumulative net ecosystem exchange showed "remarkable sequestration of carbon, comparable to many younger forests." Hence, they concluded that "in contrast to frequently stated opinions, old-growth forests can be significant carbon sinks," noting that "the old-growth forests of the Pacific Northwest can contribute to optimizing carbon sequestration strategies while continuing to provide ecosystem services essential to supporting biodiversity."

Yet another study to ask and address the question "Do old forests gain or lose carbon?" was that of Binkley *et al.* (2004), who revisited an aging aspen forest in the Tesuque watershed of northern New Mexico, USA -- which between 1971 and 1976 (when it was between 90 and 96 years old) was thought to have had a *negative* net ecosystem production rate of $-2.0 \text{ Mg ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ -- and measured the basal diameters of all trees in the central 0.01 ha of each of 27 plots arrayed across the watershed, after which they used the same regression equations employed in the earlier study to calculate live tree biomass as of 2003.

"Contrary to expectation," as they describe it, Binkley *et al.* report that "live tree mass in 2003 [186 Mg ha^{-1}] was significantly greater than in 1976 [149 Mg ha^{-1}] ($P = 0.02$), refuting the hypothesis that live tree mass declined." In fact, they found that the annual net increment of live tree mass was about $1.37 \text{ Mg ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ from age 96 to age 123 years, which is only 12% less than the mean annual increment of live tree mass experienced over the forest's initial 96 years of existence ($149 \text{ Mg ha}^{-1} / 96 \text{ yr} = 1.55 \text{ Mg ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$). Consequently, in response to the question they posed when embarking on their study -- "Do old forests gain or lose carbon?" -- Binkley *et al.* concluded that "old aspen forests continue to accrue live stem mass well into their second century, despite declining current annual increments," which, we might add, are not all that much smaller than those the forests exhibited in their younger years.

Similar results have been obtained by Hollinger *et al.* (1994) for a 300-year-old *Nothofagus* site in New Zealand, by Law *et al.* (2001) for a 250-year-old ponderosa pine site in the northwestern

United States, by Falk *et al.* (2002) for a 450-year-old Douglas fir/western hemlock site in the same general area, and by Knohl *et al.* (2003) for a 250-year-old deciduous forest in Germany. In commenting on these findings, the latter investigators say they found "unexpectedly high carbon uptake rates during 2 years for an unmanaged 'advanced' beech forest, which is in contrast to the widely spread hypothesis that 'advanced' forests are insignificant as carbon sinks." For the forest they studied, as they describe it, "assimilation is clearly not balanced by respiration, although this site shows typical characteristics of an 'advanced' forest at a comparatively late stage of development."

These observations about forests are remarkably similar to recent findings regarding humans, i.e., that nongenetic interventions, even late in life, can put one on a healthier trajectory that extends productive lifespan. So what is the *global* "intervention" that has put the planet's trees on the healthier trajectory of being able to sequester significant amounts of carbon in their old age, when *past theory* (which was obviously based on *past observations*) decreed they should be in a state of no-net-growth or even *negative* growth?

The answer, to us, seems rather simple. For any tree of age 250 years or more, the greater portion of its life (at least two-thirds of it) has been spent in an atmosphere of much-reduced CO₂ content. Up until 1920, for example, the air's CO₂ concentration had never been above 300 ppm throughout the entire lives of such trees, whereas it is currently 375 ppm or 25% higher. And for older trees, even *greater* portions of their lives have been spent in air of even *lower* CO₂ concentration. Hence, the "intervention" that has given *new life to old trees* and allows them to "live long and prosper," would appear to be the *aerial fertilization effect* produced by the flooding of the air with CO₂ that resulted from the Industrial Revolution and is being maintained by its ever-expanding aftermath (Idso, 1995).

Based on these many observations, as well as the results of the study of Greenep *et al.* (2003), which strongly suggest, in their words, that "the capacity for enhanced photosynthesis in trees growing in elevated CO₂ is unlikely to be lost in subsequent generations," it would appear that earth's forests will remain strong sinks for atmospheric carbon far beyond the date at which the world's climate alarmists have long proclaimed they would have given back to the atmosphere most of the carbon they had removed from it over their existence to that point in time.

At the end of the day, it thus appears that a large body of scientists agrees that a wealth of scientific data confirms the reality of the ever-increasing productivity of earth's older forests, especially those of Amazonia; and they tend to agree that the concomitant rise in the air's CO₂ content has had much to do with this phenomenon. We also agree, noting that an even greater wealth of laboratory and field data demonstrates that rising forest productivity is exactly what one would expect to observe in response to the stimulus provided by the ongoing rise in the atmosphere's CO₂ concentration.

Additional information on this topic, including reviews of newer publications as they become available, can be found at <http://www.co2science.org/subject/f/forestold.php>.

References

- Baker, T.R., Phillips, O.L., Malhi, Y., Almeida, S., Arroyo, L., Di Fiore, A., Erwin, T., Higuchi, N., Killeen, T.J., Laurance, S.G., Laurance, W.F., Lewis, S.L., Monteagudo, A., Neill, D.A., Núñez Vargas, P., Pitman, N.C.A., Silva, J.N.M. and Vásquez Martínez, R. 2004. Increasing biomass in Amazonian forest plots. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B - Biological Sciences* **359**: 353-365.
- Binkley, D., White, C.S. and Gosz, J.R. 2004. Tree biomass and net increment in an old aspen forest in New Mexico. *Forest Ecology and Management* **203**: 407-410.
- Carey, E.V., Sala, A., Keane, R. and Callaway, R.M. 2001. Are old forests underestimated as global carbon sinks? *Global Change Biology* **7**: 339-344.
- Chambers, J.Q., Higuchi, N. and Schimel, J.P. 1998. Ancient trees in Amazonia. *Nature* **391**: 135-136.
- Chambers, J.Q., Van Eldik, T., Southon, J., Higuchi, N. 2001. Tree age structure in tropical forests of central Amazonia. In: Bierregaard, R.O., Gascon, C., Lovejoy, T., and Mesquita, R. (Eds.). *Lessons from Amazonia: Ecology and Conservation of a Fragmented Forest*. Yale University Press, New Haven, CT, USA, pp. 68-78.
- Condit, R., Hubbell, S.P. and Foster, R.B. 1995. Mortality-rates of 205 neotropical tree and shrub species and the impact of a severe drought. *Ecological Monographs* **65**: 419-439.
- DeBell, D.S. and Franklin, J.S. 1987. Old-growth Douglas-fir and western hemlock: a 36-year record of growth and mortality. *Western Journal of Applied Forestry* **2**: 111-114.
- Ellsworth, D.S. 2000. Seasonal CO₂ assimilation and stomatal limitations in a *Pinus taeda* canopy with varying climate. *Tree Physiology* **20**: 435-444.
- Falk, M., Paw, U.K.T., Schroeder, M. 2002. Interannual variability of carbon and energy fluxes for an old-growth rainforest. In: *Proceedings of the 25th Conference on Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*. American Meteorological Society, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.
- Franklin, J.F. 1988. Pacific Northwest Forests. In: Barbour, M.G. and Billings, W.D. (Eds.) *North American Terrestrial Vegetation*. Cambridge University Press, New York, New York, USA, pp. 104-131.
- Franklin, J.F. and DeBell, D.S. 1988. Thirty-six years of tree population change in an old-growth Pseudotsuga-Tsuga forest. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* **18**: 633-639.
- Graybill, D.A. and Idso, S.B. 1993. Detecting the aerial fertilization effect of atmospheric CO₂ enrichment in tree-ring chronologies. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* **7**: 81-95.

- Greenep, H., Turnbull, M.H. and Whitehead, D. 2003. Response of photosynthesis in second-generation *Pinus radiata* trees to long-term exposure to elevated carbon dioxide partial pressure. *Tree Physiology* **23**: 569-576.
- Hamilton, J.G., DeLucia, E.H., George, K., Naidu, S.L., Finzi, A.C. and Schlesinger, W.H. 2002. Forest carbon balance under elevated CO₂. *Oecologia* **10.1007/s00442-002-0884-x**.
- Hollinger, D.Y., Kelliher, F.M., Byers, J.N., Hunt, J.E., McSeveny, T.M. and Weir, P.L. 1994. Carbon dioxide exchange between an undisturbed old-growth temperate forest and the atmosphere. *Ecology* **75**: 143-150.
- Idso, S.B. 1995. *CO₂ and the Biosphere: The Incredible Legacy of the Industrial Revolution*. Department of Soil, Water and Climate, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA.
- Knohl, A., Schulze, E.-D., Kolle, O. and Buchmann, N. 2003. Large carbon uptake by an unmanaged 250-year-old deciduous forest in Central Germany. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* **118**: 151-167.
- Lai, C.T., Katul, G., Butnor, J., Ellsworth, D. and Oren, R. 2002. Modeling nighttime ecosystem respiration by a constrained source optimization method. *Global Change Biology* **8**: 124-141.
- Laurance, W.F., Nascimento, H.E.M., Laurance, S.G., Condit, R., D'Angelo, S. and Andrade, A. 2004. Inferred longevity of Amazonian rainforest trees based on a long-term demographic study. *Forest Ecology and Management* **190**: 131-143.
- Laurance, W.F., Oliveira, A.A., Laurance, S.G., Condit, R., Dick, C.W., Andrade, A., Nascimento, H.E.M., Lovejoy, T.E. and Ribeiro, J.E.L.S. 2005. Altered tree communities in undisturbed Amazonian forests: A consequence of global change? *Biotropica* **37**: 160-162.
- Laurance, W.F., Oliveira, A.A., Laurance, S.G., Condit, R., Nascimento, H.E.M., Sanchez-Thorin, A.C., Lovejoy, T.E., Andrade, A., D'Angelo, S. and Dick, C. 2004a. Pervasive alteration of tree communities in undisturbed Amazonian forests. *Nature* **428**: 171-175.
- Law, B.E., Goldstein, A.H., Anthoni, P.M., Unsworth, M.H., Panek, J.A., Bauer, M.R., Fracheboud, J.M. and Hultman, N. 2001. Carbon dioxide and water vapor exchange by young and old ponderosa pine ecosystems during a dry summer. *Tree Physiology* **21**: 299-308.
- Lewis, S.L. 2006. Tropical forests and the changing earth system. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* **361**: 195-210.
- Lewis, S.L., Malhi, Y. and Phillips, O.L. 2004a. Fingerprinting the impacts of global change on tropical forests. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B - Biological Sciences* **359**: 437-462.

Lewis, S.L., Phillips, O.L., Baker, T.R., Lloyd, J., Malhi, Y., Almeida, S., Higuchi, N., Laurance, W.F., Neill, D.A., Silva, J.N.M., Terborgh, J., Lezama, A.T., Vásquez Martínez, R., Brown, S., Chave, J., Kuebler, C., Núñez Vargas, P. and Vinceti, B. 2004b. Concerted changes in tropical forest structure and dynamics: evidence from 50 South American long-term plots. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B - Biological Sciences* **359**: 421-436.

Luo, Y., Medlyn, B., Hui, D., Ellsworth, D., Reynolds, J. and Katul, G. 2001. Gross primary productivity in the Duke Forest: Modeling synthesis of the free-air CO₂ enrichment experiment and eddy-covariance measurements. *Ecological Applications* **11**: 239-252.

Luo, Y., White, L.W., Canadell, J.G., DeLucia, E.H., Ellsworth, D.S., Finzi, A., Lichter, J. and Schlesinger, W.H. 2003. Sustainability of terrestrial carbon sequestration: A case study in Duke Forest with inversion approach. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* **17**: 10.1029/2002GB001923.

Malhi, Y. and Phillips, O.L. 2004. Tropical forests and global atmospheric change: a synthesis. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B - Biological Sciences* **359**: 549-555.

Myers, D.A., Thomas, R.B. and DeLucia, E.H. 1999. Photosynthetic capacity of loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) trees during the first year of carbon dioxide enrichment in a forest ecosystem. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **22**: 473-481.

Nelson, B.W. 2005. Pervasive alteration of tree communities in undisturbed Amazonian forests. *Biotropica* **37**: 158-159.

Odum, E.P. 1963. *Ecology*. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, New York, USA.

Odum E.P. 1965. *Fundamentals of Ecology*. Saunders, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA.

Paw U, K.T., Falk, M., Suchanek, T.H., Ustin, S.L., Chen, J., Park, Y.-S., Winner, W.E., Thomas, S.C., Hsiao, T.C., Shaw, R.H., King, T.S., Pyles, R.D., Schroeder, M. and Matista, A.A. 2004. Carbon dioxide exchange between an old-growth forest and the atmosphere. *Ecosystems* **7**: 513-524.

Pearce, F. 1999. That sinking feeling. *New Scientist* **164** (2209): 20-21.

Phillips, O.L., Baker, T.R., Arroyo, L., Higuchi, N., Killeen, T.J., Laurance, W.F., Lewis, S.L., Lloyd, J., Malhi, Y., Monteagudo, A., Neill, D.A., Núñez Vargas, P., Silva, J.N.M., Terborgh, J., Vásquez Martínez, R., Alexiades, M., Almeida, S., Brown, S., Chave, J., Comiskey, J.A., Czimczik, C.I., Di Fiore, A., Erwin, T., Kuebler, C., Laurance, S.G., Nascimento, H.E.M., Olivier, J., Palacios, W., Patiño, S., Pitman, N.C.A., Quesada, C.A., Saldias, M., Torres Lezama, A., B. and Vinceti, B. 2004. Pattern and process in Amazon tree turnover: 1976-2001. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B - Biological Sciences* **359**: 381-407.

Phillips, O.L. and Gentry, A.H. 1994. Increasing turnover through time in tropical forests. *Science* **263**: 954-958.

Phillips, O.L., Malhi, Y., Higuchi, N., Laurance, W.F., Nunez, P.V., Vasquez, R.M., Laurance, S.G., Ferreira, L.V., Stern, M., Brown, S. and Grace, J. 1998. Changes in the carbon balance of tropical forests: Evidence from long-term plots. *Science* **282**: 439-442.

Pimm, S.L. and Sugden, A.M. 1994. Tropical diversity and global change. *Science* **263**: 933-934.
Weaver, P.L. and Murphy, P.G. 1990. Forest structure and productivity in Puerto Rico's Luquillo Mountains. *Biotropica* **22**: 69-82.

Schlesinger, W.H. and Lichter, J. 2001. Limited carbon storage in soil and litter of experimental forest plots under increased atmospheric CO₂. *Nature* **411**: 466-469.

Schulze, E.-D., Lloyd, J., Kelliher, F.M., Wirth, C., Rebmann, C., Luhker, B., Mund, M., Knohl, A., Milyukova, I.M. and Schulze, W. 1999. Productivity of forests in the Eurosiberian boreal region and their potential to act as a carbon sink: a synthesis. *Global Change Biology* **5**: 703-722.

7.4. Peatlands

Putative CO₂-induced global warming has long been predicted to turn boreal and tundra biomes into carbon sources extraordinaire. In fact, until just a few short years ago it was nearly universally believed that rising air temperatures would lead to the thawing of extensive areas of permafrost and the subsequent decomposition of their vast stores of organic matter, which, it was thought, would release much of the peatlands' tightly-held carbon, enabling it to make its way back to the atmosphere as CO₂. Improved soil drainage and increased aridity were also envisioned to help the process along, possibly freeing enough carbon at a sufficiently rapid rate to rival the amount released to the atmosphere as CO₂ by all anthropogenic sources combined. The end result was claimed to be a tremendous *positive feedback* to the ongoing rise in the air's CO₂ content, which was envisioned to produce a greatly amplified atmospheric greenhouse effect that would lead to catastrophic global warming. In a slight variation of an old maxim, however, this scenario was simply *too bad to be true*. Why? Because it did not even *begin* to deal with the incredible complexity of the issue, several important neglected aspects of which have been briefly described by Weintraub and Schimel (2005).

One of the first cracks in the seemingly sound hypothesis was revealed by the study of Oechel *et al.* (2000), wherein long-term measurements of net ecosystem CO₂ exchange rates in wet-sedge and moist-tussock tundra communities of the Alaskan Arctic indicated that these ecosystems were gradually changing from carbon sources to carbon sinks. The ultimate transition occurred between 1992 and 1996, at the apex of a regional warming trend that culminated with the highest summer temperature and surface water deficit of the previous four decades.

How did it happen, this dramatic and unexpected biological transformation? The answer of the scientists who documented the phenomenon was that it was really nothing special: no more, as they put it, than "a previously undemonstrated capacity for ecosystems to metabolically adjust to long-term changes in climate." Yes, just as people can change their behavior in response to environmental stimuli, so can plants. And this simple ecological acclimation process is only one of several newly-recognized phenomena that have caused scientists to radically revise the way they think about global change in Arctic regions.

Another important study in this area was conducted by Camill *et al.* (2001), who investigated (1) changes in peat accumulation across a regional gradient of mean annual temperature in Manitoba, Canada, (2) net aboveground primary production and decomposition for major functional plant groups of the region, and (3) soil cores from several frozen and thawed bog sites that were used to determine long-term changes in organic matter accumulation following the thawing of boreal peatlands. In direct contradiction of earlier thinking on the subject, but in confirmation of the more recent findings of Camill (1999a,b), the researchers discovered that aboveground biomass and decomposition "were more strongly controlled by local succession than regional climate." In other words, they determined that over a period of several years, natural changes in plant community composition generally "have stronger effects on carbon sequestration than do simple increases in temperature and aridity." In fact, their core-derived assessments of peat accumulation over the past two centuries demonstrated that rates of biological carbon sequestration can almost *double* following the melting of permafrost, in harmony with the findings of Robinson and Moore (2000) and Turetsky *et al.* (2000), who found rates of organic matter accumulation in other recently-thawed peatlands to have risen by 60-72%.

In another relevant study, Griffis and Rouse (2001) drew upon the findings of a number of experiments conducted over the past quarter-century at a subarctic sedge fen near Churchill, Manitoba, Canada, in order to develop an empirical model of net ecosystem CO₂ exchange there. The most fundamental finding of this endeavor was that "carbon acquisition is greatest during wet and warm conditions," such as is generally predicted for the world as a whole by today's most advanced climate models. However, since *regional* climate change predictions are not very dependable, the two scientists investigated the consequences of a 4°C increase in temperature accompanied by both a 30% increase *and decrease* in precipitation; and "in all cases," as they put it, "the equilibrium response showed substantial increases in carbon acquisition." One of the reasons behind this finding, as explained by Griffis and Rouse, is that "arctic ecosystems photosynthesize below their temperature optimum over the majority of the growing season," so that increasing temperatures enhance plant growth rates considerably more than they increase plant decay rates.

In summing up their findings, Griffis and Rouse reiterate the fact that "warm surface temperatures combined with wet soil conditions in the early growing season increase above ground biomass and carbon acquisition throughout the summer season." Indeed, they note that "wet *spring* conditions can lead to greater CO₂ acquisition through much of the growing period *even when drier conditions persist* [our italics]." They thus conclude that if climate

change plays out as described by current climate models, i.e., if the world becomes warmer and wetter, "northern wetlands should therefore become larger sinks for atmospheric CO₂."

In a somewhat different type of study, Mauquoy *et al.* (2002) analyzed three cores obtained from a raised peat bog in the UK (Walton Moss) and a single core obtained from a similar bog in Denmark (Lille Vildmose) for macro- and micro-fossils (pollen), bulk density, loss on ignition, carbon/nitrogen ratios and humification, while they were ¹⁴C dated by accelerator mass spectrometry. Among a variety of other things, it was determined, in their words, that "the lowest carbon accumulation values for the Walton Moss monoliths between ca. cal AD 1300 and 1800 and between ca. cal AD 1490 and 1580 for Lille Vildmose occurred during the course of Little Ice Age deteriorations," which finding they describe as being much the same as the observation "made by Oldfield *et al.* (1997) for a Swedish 'aapa' mire between ca. cal AD 1400 and 1800." They also report that "carbon accumulation before this, in the Medieval Warm Period, was higher, as was also the case following the Little Ice Age, as the earth transitioned to the Modern Warm Period. Consequently, whereas climate alarmists claim that warming will hasten the release of carbon from ancient peat bogs, these real-world data actually *demonstrate* that just the *opposite* is likely to be true.

In a somewhat similar study, but one that concentrated more on the role of nitrogen than of temperature, Turunen *et al.* (2004) derived recent (0-150 years) and long-term (2,000-10,000 years) apparent carbon accumulation rates for several ombrotrophic peatlands in eastern Canada with the help of ²¹⁰Pb- and ¹⁴C-dating of soil-core materials. This work revealed that the average long-term apparent rate of C accumulation at 15 sites was $19 \pm 8 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, which is comparable to long-term rates observed in Finnish bogs by Tolonen and Turunen (1996) and Turunen *et al.* (2002). Recent C accumulation rates at 23 sites, on the other hand, were much higher, averaging $73 \pm 17 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, which results, in their words, are also "similar to results from Finland (Tolonen and Turunen, 1996; Pitkanen *et al.*, 1999) and for boreal *Sphagnum* dominated peat deposits in North America (Tolonen *et al.*, 1988; Wieder *et al.*, 1994; Turetsky *et al.*, 2000)." Noting that recent rates of C accumulation are "strikingly higher" than long-term rates, Turunen *et al.* suggested that increased N deposition "leads to larger rates of C and N accumulation in the bogs, as has been found in European forests (Kauppi *et al.*, 1992; Berg and Matzner, 1997), and could account for some of the missing C sink in the global C budget."

Returning to the role of temperature, Payette *et al.* (2004) quantified the main patterns of change in a subarctic peatland on the eastern coast of Canada's Hudson Bay, which were caused by permafrost decay between 1957 and 2003, based on detailed surveys conducted in 1973, 1983, 1993 and 2003. This work revealed there was continuous permafrost thawing throughout the period of observation, such that "about 18% of the initial frozen peatland surface was melted in 1957," while thereafter "accelerated thawing occurred with only 38%, 28% and 13% of the original frozen surface still remaining in 1983, 1993 and 2003, respectively." This process, in their words, was one of "terrestrialization" via the establishment of fen/bog vegetation, which nearly always results in either no net loss of carbon or actual carbon sequestration. As a result, Payette *et al.* concluded that "contrary to current expectations, the melting of permafrost caused by recent climate change does *not* [our italics]

transform the peatland to a carbon-source ecosystem." Instead, they say that "rapid terrestrialization exacerbates carbon-sink conditions and tends to balance the local carbon budget."

In a study of *experimental* warming of Icelandic plant communities designed to see if the warming of high-latitude tundra ecosystems will result in significant losses of species and reduced biodiversity, as climate alarmists often claim will occur, Jonsdottir *et al.* (2005) conducted a field experiment to learn how vegetation might respond to moderate warming at the low end of what is predicted by most climate models for a doubling of the air's CO₂ content. Specifically, they studied the effects of 3-5 years of modest surface warming (1-2°C) on two widespread but contrasting tundra plant communities, one of which was a nutrient-deficient and species-poor moss heath and the other of which was a species-rich dwarf shrub heath. At the conclusion of the study, no changes in community structure were detected in the moss heath. In the dwarf shrub heath, on the other hand, the number of deciduous and evergreen dwarf shrubs increased more than 50%, bryophytes decreased by 18% and canopy height increased by 100%, but with the researchers reporting that they "detected no changes in species richness or other diversity measures in either community and the abundance of lichens did not change."

Although Jonsdottir *et al.*'s study was a relatively short-term experiment as far as ecosystem studies go, its results are encouraging, as they indicate that a rise in temperature need not have a negative effect on the species diversity of high-latitude tundra ecosystems and that it may actually have a positive influence on plant growth, which consequences are a far, far cry from the ecological disasters that climate alarmists typically claim will occur in response to rising temperatures.

In a study that included an entirely new element of complexity, Cole *et al.* (2002) constructed 48 small microcosms from soil and litter they collected near the summit of Great Dun Fell, Cumbria, England. Subsequent to "defaunating" this material by reducing its temperature to -80°C for 24 hours, they thawed and inoculated it with native soil microbes, after which half of the microcosms were incubated in the dark at 12°C and half at 18°C for two weeks, in order to establish near-identical communities of the soils' natural complement of microflora in each microcosm. The former of these temperatures was chosen to represent mean August soil temperature at a depth of 10 cm at the site of soil collection, while the latter was picked to be "close to model predictions for soil warming that might result from a doubling of CO₂ in blanket peat environments."

Next, ten seedlings of *Festuca ovina*, an indigenous grass of blanket peat, were planted in each of the microcosms, while 100 enchytraeid worms were added to each of half of the mini-ecosystems, producing four experimental treatments: ambient temperature, ambient temperature plus enchytraeid worms, elevated temperature, and elevated temperature plus enchytraeid worms. Then, the 48 microcosms -- sufficient to destructively harvest three replicates of each treatment four different times throughout the course of the 64-day experiment -- were arranged in a fully randomized design and maintained at either 12 or 18°C

with alternating 12-hour light and dark periods, while being given distilled water every two days to maintain their original weights.

So what did the researchers learn? First of all, they found that elevated temperature reduced the ability of the enchytraeid worms to enhance the loss of carbon from the microcosms. At the normal ambient temperature, for example, the presence of the worms enhanced dissolved organic carbon (DOC) loss by 16%, while at the elevated temperature expected for a doubling of the air's CO₂ content they had no effect on DOC. In addition, Cole *et al.* note that "warming may cause drying at the soil surface, forcing enchytraeids to burrow to deeper subsurface horizons;" and since the worms are known to have little influence on soil carbon dynamics below a depth of about 4 cm (Cole *et al.*, 2000), the researchers concluded that this additional consequence of warming would further reduce the ability of enchytraeids to enhance carbon loss from blanket peatlands. In summing up their findings, therefore, Cole *et al.* concluded that "the soil biotic response to warming in this study was negative," in that it resulted in a reduced loss of carbon to the atmosphere.

But what about the effects of elevated CO₂ itself on the loss of DOC from soils? Freeman *et al.* (2004) note that riverine transport of DOC has increased markedly in many places throughout the world over the past few decades (Schindler *et al.*, 1997; Freeman *et al.*, 2001; Worrall *et al.*, 2003); and they suggest that this phenomenon may be related to the historical increase in the air's CO₂ content.

The researchers' first piece of evidence for this conclusion came from a 3-year study of monoliths (11-cm diameter x 20-cm deep cores) taken from three Welsh peatlands -- a *bog* that received nutrients solely from rainfall, a *fen* that gained more nutrients from surrounding soils and groundwater, and a *riparian peatland* that gained even more nutrients from nutrient-laden water transported from other terrestrial ecosystems via drainage streams -- which they exposed to either ambient air or air enriched with an extra 235 ppm of CO₂ within a solardome facility. This study revealed that the DOC released by monoliths from the three peatlands was significantly enhanced -- by 14% in the bog, 49% in the fen and 61% in the riparian peatland -- by the additional CO₂ to which they were exposed, which is the order of response one would expect from what we know about the stimulation of net primary productivity due to atmospheric CO₂ enrichment, i.e., it is low in the face of low soil nutrients, intermediate when soil nutrient concentrations are intermediate, and high when soil nutrients are present in abundance. Consequently, Freeman *et al.* concluded that the DOC increases they observed "were induced by increased primary production and DOC exudation from plants," which conclusion logically follows from their findings.

Nevertheless, and to further test their hypothesis, they followed the translocation of labeled ¹³C through the plant-soil systems of the different peat monoliths for about two weeks after exposing them to ~99%-pure ¹³CO₂ for a period of five hours. This exercise revealed that (1) the plants in the ambient-air and CO₂-enriched treatments assimilated 22.9 and 35.8 mg of ¹³C from the air, respectively, (2) the amount of DOC that was recovered from the leachate of the CO₂-enriched monoliths was 0.6% of that assimilated, or 0.215 mg (35.8 mg x 0.006 = 0.215 mg),

and (3) the proportion of DOC in the soil solution of the CO₂-enriched monoliths that was derived from recently assimilated CO₂ (the ¹³C labeled CO₂) was *ten times higher than that of the control*.

This latter observation suggests that the amount of DOC recovered from the leachate of the ambient-air monoliths was only about a tenth as much as that recovered from the leachate of the CO₂-enriched monoliths, which puts the former amount at about 0.022 mg. Hence, *what really counts*, i.e., the *net* sequestration of ¹³C experienced by the peat monoliths over the two-week period (which equals the amount that went into them minus the amount that went out), comes to 22.9 mg minus 0.022 mg = 22.878 mg for the ambient-air monoliths and 35.8 mg minus 0.215 mg = 35.585 mg for the CO₂-enriched monoliths. In the end, therefore, even though the CO₂-enriched monoliths lost ten times more ¹³C via root exudation than did the ambient-air monoliths, they still sequestered about 55% more ¹³C overall, primarily in living-plant tissues.

In light of this impressive array of pertinent findings, it would appear that continued increases in the air's CO₂ concentration and temperature would *not* result in massive losses of carbon from earth's peatlands. Quite to the contrary, these environmental changes -- if they persist -- would likely work together to *enhance* carbon capture by these particular ecosystems.

Additional information on this topic, including reviews of newer publications as they become available, can be found at <http://www.co2science.org/subject/c/carbonpeat.php>.

References

- Berg, B. and Matzner, E. 1997. Effect of N deposition on decomposition of plant litter and soil organic matter in forest systems. *Environmental Reviews* 5: 1-25.
- Camill, P. 1999a. Patterns of boreal permafrost peatland vegetation across environmental gradients sensitive to climate warming. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 77: 721-733.
- Camill, P. 1999b. Peat accumulation and succession following permafrost thaw in the boreal peatlands of Manitoba, Canada. *Ecoscience* 6: 592-602.
- Camill, P., Lynch, J.A., Clark, J.S., Adams, J.B. and Jordan, B. 2001. Changes in biomass, aboveground net primary production, and peat accumulation following permafrost thaw in the boreal peatlands of Manitoba, Canada. *Ecosystems* 4: 461-478.
- Cole, L., Bardgett, R.D. and Ineson, P. 2000. Enchytraeid worms (Oligochaeta) enhance mineralization of carbon in organic upland soils. *European Journal of Soil Science* 51: 185-192.
- Cole, L., Bardgett, R.D., Ineson, P. and Hobbs, P.J. 2002. Enchytraeid worm (Oligochaeta) influences on microbial community structure, nutrient dynamics and plant growth in blanket peat subjected to warming. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 34: 83-92.

Freeman, C., Evans, C.D., Monteith, D.T., Reynolds, B. and Fenner, N. 2002. Export of organic carbon from peat soils. *Nature* **412**: 785.

Freeman, C., Fenner, N., Ostle, N.J., Kang, H., Dowrick, D.J., Reynolds, B., Lock, M.A., Sleep, D., Hughes, S. and Hudson, J. 2004. Export of dissolved organic carbon from peatlands under elevated carbon dioxide levels. *Nature* **430**: 195-198.

Griffis, T.J. and Rouse, W.R. 2001. Modelling the interannual variability of net ecosystem CO₂ exchange at a subarctic sedge fen. *Global Change Biology* **7**: 511-530.

Jonsdottir, I.S., Magnusson, B., Gudmundsson, J., Elmarsdottir, A. and Hjartarson, H. 2005. Variable sensitivity of plant communities in Iceland to experimental warming. *Global Change Biology* **11**: 553-563.

Kauppi, P.E., Mielikainen, K. and Kuusela, K. 1992. Biomass and carbon budget of European forests. *Science* **256**: 70-74.

Mauquoy, D., Engelkes, T., Groot, M.H.M., Markesteijn, F., Oudejans, M.G., van der Plicht, J. and van Geel, B. 2002. High-resolution records of late-Holocene climate change and carbon accumulation in two north-west European ombrotrophic peat bogs. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **186**: 275-310.

Oechel, W.C., Vourlitis, G.L., Hastings, S.J., Zulueta, R.C., Hinzman, L. and Kane, D. 2000. Acclimation of ecosystem CO₂ exchange in the Alaskan Arctic in response to decadal climate warming. *Nature* **406**: 978-981.

Payette, S., Delwaide, A., Caccianiga, M. and Beauchemin, M. 2004. Accelerated thawing of subarctic peatland permafrost over the last 50 years. *Geophysical Research Letters* **31**: 10.1029/2004GL020358.

Pitkanen, A., Turunen, J. and Tolonen, K. 1999. The role of fire in the carbon dynamics of a mire, Eastern Finland. *The Holocene* **9**: 453-462.

Robinson, S.D. and Moore, T.R. 2000. The influence of permafrost and fire upon carbon accumulation in high boreal peatlands, Northwest Territories, Canada. *Arctic, Antarctic and Alpine Research* **32**: 155-166.

Schindler, D.W., Curtis, P.J., Bayley, S.E., Parker, B.R., Beaty, K.G. and Stainton, M.P. 1997. Climate-induced changes in the dissolved organic carbon budgets of boreal lakes. *Biogeochemistry* **36**: 9-28.

Tolonen, K., Davis, R.B. and Widoff, L. 1988. Peat accumulation rates in selected Maine peat deposits. *Maine Geological Survey, Department of Conservation Bulletin* **33**: 1-99.

Tolonen, K. and Turunen, J. 1996. Accumulation rates of carbon in mires in Finland and implications for climate change. *The Holocene* **6**: 171-178.

Turetsky, M.R., Wieder, R.K., Williams, C.J, and Vitt, D.H. 2000. Organic matter accumulation, peat chemistry, and permafrost melting in peatlands of boreal Alberta. *Ecoscience* **7**: 379-392.

Turunen, J., Roulet, N.T., Moore, T.R. and Richard, P.J.H. 2004. Nitrogen deposition and increased carbon accumulation in ombrotrophic peatlands in eastern Canada. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* **18**: 10.1029/2003GB002154.

Turunen, J., Tomppo, E., Tolonen, K. and Reinikainen, A. 2002. Estimating carbon accumulation rates of undrained mires in Finland: Application to boreal and subarctic regions. *The Holocene* **12**: 69-80.

Weintraub, M.N. and Schimel, J.P. 2005. Nitrogen cycling and the spread of shrubs control changes in the carbon balance of Arctic tundra ecosystems. *BioScience* **55**: 408-415.

Wieder, R.K., Novak, M., Schell, W.R. and Rhodes, T. 1994. Rates of peat accumulation over the past 200 years in five Sphagnum-dominated peatlands in the United States. *Journal of Paleolimnology* **12**: 35-47.

Worrall, F., Burt, T. and Shedden, R. 2003. Long term records of riverine dissolved organic matter. *Biogeochemistry* **64**: 165-178.